COUNTERTRANSFERENCE AND SECONDARY TRAUMATIC STRESS IN TRAUMA-FOCUSED PSYCHOTHERAPY: THE THERAPIST'S INNER EXPERIENCE, CLINICAL RISKS, AND PATHWAYS TO PROFESSIONAL RESILIENCE

Sana Abdo

PhD-student at Department of Psychology, Varna Free University

Abstract. *Trauma-focused psychotherapy requires clinicians to remain emotionally engaged with patients whose histories may include violence, death, abuse, war, disaster, captivity, serious injury, and loss. Although the therapist is not the direct victim of the traumatic event, sustained empathic engagement may produce substantial emotional, cognitive, physiological, and interpersonal effects. Two constructs are particularly important in understanding these effects: countertransference and secondary traumatic stress. Countertransference concerns the therapist's emotional and relational responses within the therapeutic encounter, whereas secondary traumatic stress refers to trauma-related symptoms arising through indirect exposure to another person's traumatic experience. The two processes are distinct but may interact, particularly when trauma narratives activate the therapist's personal history, threat responses, identification, helplessness, guilt, anger, or rescue impulses. This article provides a clinically oriented, literature-based analysis of the relationship between countertransference and secondary traumatic stress in trauma-focused psychotherapy. It distinguishes secondary traumatic stress from vicarious traumatization, compassion fatigue, and burnout; reviews evidence concerning therapist vulnerability and personal trauma history; and examines the role of supervision, self-awareness, professional boundaries, workload, and organizational support. Contemporary evidence indicates that secondary traumatic stress is a meaningful occupational risk for some mental health professionals, although most professionals do not necessarily develop clinically significant distress. Research also indicates that effective countertransference management is associated with better psychotherapy outcomes. The article argues that therapist emotional responses should neither be denied nor automatically acted upon. Instead, they should be recognized as clinical information requiring reflection, supervision, and regulation. Protecting the therapist is presented not as an optional form of self-care but as an element of ethical and clinically competent trauma practice. An integrated framework is proposed in which patient trauma exposure, therapist characteristics, therapeutic relationship processes, and organizational conditions interact to influence risk and resilience.*

Keywords: *countertransference; secondary traumatic stress; vicarious traumatization; compassion fatigue; trauma psychotherapy; therapist well-being; supervision; professional resilience; psychotherapy; trauma*

1. INTRODUCTION

Trauma-focused psychotherapy places the therapist in a distinctive professional position. The clinician is asked to listen carefully to experiences that may be frightening, violent, humiliating, morally disturbing, or emotionally overwhelming, while simultaneously maintaining therapeutic presence, clinical judgment, boundaries, and emotional regulation. The patient may describe the death of another person, exposure to a body, a violent attack, sexual violence, war, disaster, captivity, domestic violence, or repeated experiences of threat. Although the therapist is not the direct target of the original event, the therapeutic relationship creates repeated indirect exposure to traumatic material. The therapist may hear the same narrative across multiple sessions, imagine scenes in considerable sensory detail, witness the patient's physiological arousal, and remain emotionally present while the patient approaches memories that have previously been avoided.

The effects of this work are not uniform. Trauma work can be professionally meaningful and can generate compassion satisfaction, a strong sense of purpose, and the experience of witnessing recovery. A systematic review and meta-analysis involving more than 10,000 participants found that most professionals did not experience clinically relevant distress as a consequence of occupational exposure to trauma, while also showing that a subgroup developed significant symptoms (Velasco et al., 2023). The appropriate clinical position is therefore neither to assume that trauma work inevitably harms therapists nor to minimize the possibility of harm.

Two concepts are especially relevant: countertransference and secondary traumatic stress (STS). Countertransference describes emotional, cognitive, physiological, and behavioral responses experienced by the therapist in relation to the patient and the therapeutic relationship. STS refers more specifically to trauma-related reactions arising through indirect exposure to another person's traumatic experience. Vicarious traumatization, compassion fatigue, and burnout are related constructs, but they are not synonymous. Conceptual distinctions are important because different mechanisms may require different forms of assessment and intervention.

The purpose of this article is to examine the therapist's inner experience in trauma-focused psychotherapy and to clarify how countertransference may interact with secondary traumatic stress. Particular attention is given to emotional identification, helplessness, anger, guilt, protectiveness, rescue impulses, avoidance, intrusive imagery, physiological arousal, personal trauma history, and professional boundaries. The article also considers supervision and organizational responsibility and proposes an integrated model for prevention and clinical management.

2. COUNTERTRANSFERENCE IN CONTEMPORARY PSYCHOTHERAPY

The concept of countertransference has undergone a substantial theoretical development. Earlier psychoanalytic approaches often emphasized the therapist's unresolved conflicts as a source of interference. Contemporary psychotherapy research takes a broader position, recognizing that the therapist's responses may arise from personal history, characteristics of the patient, the therapeutic relationship, the treatment context, and the interaction among these factors. Countertransference therefore cannot be reduced to a single intrapsychic process.

A therapist may experience sadness, fear, irritation, attraction, boredom, admiration, anger, helplessness, protectiveness, frustration, or a powerful desire to rescue a patient. Such reactions are not automatically evidence of inadequate practice. The clinically relevant question is whether the therapist can identify and regulate the reaction and determine whether it provides useful information about the patient, the relationship, or the therapist's own unresolved material. In this sense, countertransference is better understood as a clinical phenomenon requiring management than as an emotional state that must be eliminated.

Empirical research supports this distinction. Hayes et al. (2011) reported that countertransference reactions were modestly and negatively related to psychotherapy outcomes, while successful management of countertransference was associated with better outcomes. A later meta-analysis found that countertransference reactions were inversely related to treatment outcomes, whereas successful countertransference management was positively associated with treatment effectiveness (Hayes et al., 2018). The implication is important: emotional reactions themselves may be unavoidable, but the therapist's capacity to reflect on and manage them is clinically consequential.

In trauma psychotherapy, countertransference may be especially intense because traumatic narratives activate fundamental human responses to threat, injustice, suffering, and loss. The therapist may feel an urgent need to protect the patient, anger toward perpetrators, grief about what cannot be repaired, or helplessness when symptoms persist. These responses can become clinically useful if they are reflected upon. They become problematic when they determine the therapist's behavior without sufficient awareness.

3. TRAUMA-FOCUSED THERAPY AND THE THERAPIST'S EMOTIONAL EXPOSURE

Trauma narratives frequently contain sensory and emotionally vivid material. Descriptions of blood, fire, screams, injuries, death, smells, sounds, or helplessness can create

strong internal representations in the therapist. The therapist may experience a bodily response while listening: muscle tension, altered breathing, increased heart rate, nausea, heaviness, restlessness, or a sudden urge to change the subject. Such responses are not diagnostic in themselves, but they can signal that the therapist's nervous system is responding to the clinical material.

The therapist may also begin to imagine danger beyond the consulting room. After prolonged work with survivors of violence, ordinary situations may appear less safe. A clinician treating victims of war may become unusually sensitive to sirens or explosions. A clinician working with domestic violence may become increasingly concerned about the safety of family members. These changes can be subtle and may occur without the therapist initially recognizing a connection to clinical exposure.

McCann and Pearlman (1990) conceptualized vicarious traumatization as a process in which empathic engagement with trauma survivors can alter the therapist's cognitive schemas and assumptions about safety, trust, control, intimacy, and meaning. This framework complements STS models because it draws attention not only to symptoms but also to changes in how the therapist understands the world.

Importantly, empathic engagement itself is not the problem. Empathy is central to psychotherapy. The risk arises when empathic involvement becomes unregulated identification or when repeated exposure occurs without sufficient opportunities for reflection, recovery, and professional support. The clinical challenge is therefore to maintain emotional presence without losing psychological differentiation.

4. SECONDARY TRAUMATIC STRESS

Secondary traumatic stress describes trauma-related reactions resulting from indirect exposure to another person's traumatic experience. In mental health practice, this exposure commonly occurs through sustained professional contact with traumatized patients. Bride et al. (2004) developed and validated the Secondary Traumatic Stress Scale (STSS), a 17-item instrument designed to assess intrusion, avoidance, and arousal symptoms associated with indirect exposure through professional relationships with traumatized clients.

The symptom pattern may resemble post-traumatic stress phenomena. Intrusion can include unwanted thoughts or images connected with patients' experiences. Avoidance can involve attempts to reduce exposure to trauma-related material or emotional disengagement from affected patients. Arousal can include sleep disturbance, irritability, concentration problems, exaggerated startle, or persistent vigilance. These symptoms may be episodic or

persistent and may affect both professional and personal functioning.

Current evidence indicates that measurement remains an important methodological challenge. Paksina et al. (2026) reviewed 49 quantitative studies of STS among clinicians who provide therapy and identified seven different instruments. The Professional Quality of Life Scale and the STSS were the most frequently used measures, but the authors concluded that no single measure currently captures the entire domain of STS. This finding supports a multidimensional clinical assessment rather than reliance on a single questionnaire score.

A further issue is that not every therapist exposed to trauma develops significant symptoms. Velasco et al. (2023) found that most professionals in the literature did not experience relevant distress, although some developed clinically significant symptoms. STS should therefore be

conceptualized as a risk that emerges from an interaction between exposure and individual, relational, and organizational factors rather than as an inevitable consequence of trauma practice.

5. COUNTERTRANSFERENCE AND SECONDARY TRAUMATIC STRESS: DISTINCT BUT INTERACTING PROCESSES

Countertransference and STS are conceptually distinct. Countertransference is fundamentally relational: it concerns what occurs within the therapist in the context of a particular patient and therapeutic relationship. STS is fundamentally trauma-related: it concerns symptoms arising through indirect exposure to traumatic material. A therapist can experience countertransference without developing STS, and STS can develop in a clinician without a prominent conscious countertransference reaction to a particular patient.

Nevertheless, the processes may interact. Consider a therapist who becomes intensely protective of a patient after hearing repeated accounts of interpersonal violence. The protective response may initially be an understandable expression of empathy. If it becomes excessive, the therapist may begin to feel personally responsible for the patient's safety, provide reassurance beyond therapeutic limits, extend sessions, become preoccupied between appointments, or find it difficult to tolerate the patient’s autonomy. Over time, emotional overinvolvement may increase exhaustion and vulnerability to trauma-related symptoms.

Jenkins (2002) demonstrated that vicarious trauma and secondary traumatic stress show conceptual overlap while emphasizing different outcomes: vicarious trauma focuses more strongly on changes in cognitive schemas, whereas STS focuses on post-traumatic symptoms. This distinction remains useful because it prevents all forms of therapist distress from being

placed under a single label.

The interaction can also move in the opposite direction. A therapist experiencing emerging STS may become avoidant of trauma material, emotionally numb, or overly detached. These changes can appear in the therapeutic relationship as reduced empathy, impatience, or a tendency to redirect difficult material. What begins as a secondary trauma response can therefore become a countertransference problem if it alters the therapist's relationship with the patient.

6. THE THERAPIST'S PERSONAL TRAUMA HISTORY

Therapists bring their own histories into clinical work. Personal trauma is not a disqualifying characteristic; indeed, personal experience may sometimes contribute to empathy and sensitivity. However, similarity between the patient's experience and the therapist's history can increase the probability of emotional activation. The therapist may identify strongly with the patient, experience memories or bodily sensations, or respond with unusual urgency.

A systematic review by Henderson et al. (2025) included 23 studies examining personal trauma history and STS among mental health professionals. Personal trauma history ranged from 19% to 81% across studies, while STS ranged from 19% to 70%. Of the 18 studies examining the association, 14 reported a statistically significant positive relationship between personal trauma history and STS. The authors concluded that professionals with a personal trauma history may be at heightened risk, while also noting methodological limitations in the evidence.

Leung et al. (2023) similarly reviewed 39 studies and found a clear positive association between personal trauma history and STS and vicarious trauma, whereas findings for burnout were more often null. This distinction is theoretically important. It suggests that personal trauma may be particularly relevant when the clinician is repeatedly exposed to trauma-related cues, rather than simply increasing vulnerability to every form of occupational exhaustion.

The appropriate response is not exclusion of therapists with trauma histories. Rather, training and supervision should encourage clinicians to recognize activation, understand their triggers, and distinguish the patient's experience from their own. A therapist who knows that a particular type of trauma activates personal material can plan supervision, monitor reactions, and maintain clearer boundaries.

7. IDENTIFICATION, HELPLESSNESS, ANGER, GUILT, AND THE RESCUE IMPULSE

Trauma therapy can activate strong moral and attachment-related emotions. The therapist may feel anger toward perpetrators, grief over losses, fear for the patient's safety, or helplessness when the consequences of trauma cannot be undone. These responses may be intensified when the patient has experienced prolonged victimization or when external circumstances continue to threaten the patient.

The rescue impulse deserves particular attention. A therapist may begin to feel that the patient must be protected from every possible source of distress. Excessive reassurance, over-availability, boundary extensions, or making decisions for the patient can emerge from genuine concern but may ultimately undermine autonomy. The therapist may also become disappointed when the patient does not improve according to an imagined timetable.

Helplessness can produce a parallel process. When treatment is slow, the therapist may conclude that more must be done. This can lead to overworking, repeated interventions, or difficulty accepting the nonlinear course of trauma recovery. The therapist may experience the patient's continuing symptoms as a personal failure. Guilt can then reinforce overinvolvement, creating a cycle in which the therapist gives more while becoming increasingly depleted.

Anger is another important countertransference reaction. Anger toward perpetrators can help the therapist validate the patient's experience, but unexamined anger may narrow clinical curiosity. The therapist may become overly certain about motives, push the patient toward a particular interpretation, or have difficulty tolerating ambivalence. Reflective practice allows anger to be acknowledged without allowing it to control treatment decisions.

The central distinction is between compassion and responsibility. A therapist can care deeply without becoming responsible for eliminating every aspect of the patient's suffering. Healthy therapeutic engagement requires emotional presence together with differentiation, boundaries, and respect for the patient’s agency.

8. VICARIOUS TRAUMATIZATION, COMPASSION FATIGUE, AND BURNOUT

The literature contains considerable conceptual overlap among vicarious traumatization, secondary traumatic stress, compassion fatigue, and burnout. This overlap can make clinical communication imprecise. The constructs should therefore be distinguished rather than used as interchangeable terms.

Vicarious traumatization emphasizes changes in cognitive schemas and fundamental

assumptions following empathic engagement with traumatized people (McCann & Pearlman, 1990). Secondary traumatic stress emphasizes trauma-like symptoms following indirect exposure. Compassion fatigue describes the costs associated with caring and emotional investment and has been discussed specifically in relation to psychotherapists (Figley, 2002). Burnout is more broadly associated with chronic occupational stress and includes exhaustion, disengagement or cynicism, and reduced professional efficacy.

Devilly et al. (2009) examined vicarious trauma, secondary traumatic stress, and burnout among mental health professionals and highlighted the difficulty of treating these constructs as identical. More recent systematic evidence similarly shows substantial conceptual and methodological heterogeneity. Velasco et al. (2023) concluded that the field needs greater conceptual precision because different studies often use different definitions and measures.

The distinction matters for intervention. A therapist with generalized occupational burnout may require workload and organizational changes. A therapist with prominent STS symptoms may need trauma-focused assessment, supervision, and temporary reduction of exposure. A therapist experiencing countertransference may need relational reflection and supervision. A therapist experiencing cognitive changes characteristic of vicarious traumatization may benefit from structured reflection on altered assumptions about safety, trust, control, and meaning.

9. SUPERVISION AND COUNTERTRANSFERENCE MANAGEMENT

Supervision is one of the most important protective mechanisms in trauma-focused practice. It creates a structured setting in which the therapist can examine emotional reactions without immediately acting upon them. Effective supervision should include not only diagnostic formulation and intervention planning but also discussion of the therapist's internal experience.

Hayes et al. (2018) found that successful countertransference management was positively associated with psychotherapy outcomes. The implication is not that supervision eliminates countertransference. Rather, supervision can strengthen the therapist's ability to recognize and regulate responses and to use them as information. The supervisor can help distinguish what belongs to the patient, what belongs to the therapist, and what emerges from their interaction.

A useful supervisory sequence begins with description. The therapist identifies exactly what was felt, thought, imagined, or experienced bodily. The next step is timing: when did the reaction begin, and what was happening in the session? The therapist then considers possible

explanations: patient communication, relational dynamics, personal history, trauma activation, fatigue, or contextual stress. Finally, the therapist evaluates behavior: did the reaction change clinical judgment, boundaries, or intervention?

Supervision is especially important when the therapist notices persistent preoccupation with a patient, avoidance of a patient, unusual anger, excessive protectiveness, difficulty ending sessions, or emotional reactions that continue long after the session. These are not signs of professional failure. They are signals that the therapist's internal response requires attention.

10. THE THERAPIST'S BODY AND PHYSIOLOGICAL COUNTERTRANSFERENCE

Countertransference is not solely a cognitive phenomenon. Physiological responses may provide early indications that the therapist is becoming activated. A clinician may notice shallow breathing, increased heart rate, muscle tension, fatigue, nausea, agitation, or a sudden urge to withdraw when particular material is discussed.

Such reactions should be treated as data rather than conclusions. A bodily response may reflect the patient's affective state, the interpersonal field, the therapist's personal history, accumulated exposure, or simple fatigue. The therapist should therefore ask: What am I experiencing? When did it start? What was being discussed? Is the reaction familiar? Does it occur with other patients? Is it affecting my clinical judgment?

This approach is particularly relevant in trauma treatment because therapists may be exposed to sensory details that activate their own threat systems. The therapist's ability to notice and regulate physiological arousal may prevent escalation into avoidance, emotional flooding, or overinvolvement. Grounding and breathing strategies may support moment-to-moment regulation, but they should be understood as components of a broader reflective and supervisory framework rather than as stand-alone treatments for STS.

11. SELF-AWARENESS AS A CLINICAL AND ETHICAL COMPETENCE

Self-awareness should not be reduced to general wellness advice. In trauma-focused psychotherapy, the therapist's psychological functioning directly affects clinical functioning. A clinician who is persistently sleep-deprived, emotionally overwhelmed, avoidant, or preoccupied may have reduced capacity to listen accurately, tolerate difficult affect, maintain boundaries, and make balanced decisions.

The ethical principle is therefore functional rather than perfectionistic. Therapists are not required to have no emotional reactions. They are required to recognize when personal problems

or emotional responses interfere with professional competence and to take reasonable steps to address them. Self-monitoring should include attention to intrusive thoughts, sleep changes, emotional numbing, irritability, avoidance of trauma material, excessive responsibility for patients, and changes in professional functioning.

A brief reflective routine can be incorporated into practice. After a highly intense session, the therapist can identify the strongest emotion, the most persistent thought, the strongest bodily response, and any impulse to act. The therapist can then ask whether the reaction belongs primarily to the patient, the therapist, the relationship, or several domains simultaneously. When uncertainty remains, consultation is preferable to acting on an unexamined impulse.

Personal psychotherapy may be appropriate when clinical material activates unresolved trauma or when occupational exposure is contributing to significant distress. Seeking therapy should not be viewed as evidence that a clinician is unfit to practice. On the contrary, responsible help-seeking may protect both therapist and patient.

12. ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITY AND WORKLOAD

Secondary traumatic stress cannot be understood exclusively as an individual therapist problem. Workload, case complexity, frequency of trauma exposure, administrative demands, organizational culture, professional isolation, and availability of supervision influence the conditions under which therapists work.

A system that tells clinicians to practice self-care while maintaining excessive caseloads and inadequate supervision addresses only part of the problem. Organizational prevention should include manageable workloads, regular supervision, peer consultation, opportunities for recovery after highly traumatic sessions, access to mental health support, and clear boundaries regarding after-hours responsibilities.

The importance of context is consistent with contemporary research. A 2025 scoping review identified strengths and protective factors among helping professionals exposed to secondary trauma, emphasizing that the field has historically focused more heavily on risk than resilience (Whittenbury et al., 2025). Recent work among Israeli therapists following the October 7 attack also illustrates the relevance of context: in a sample of 223 therapists treating survivors and bereaved or hostage families, 83.6% reported average-range STS and 44.7% reported moderate burnout, while compassion satisfaction remained substantial for many participants (2025 study). These findings illustrate that distress and professional meaning can coexist.

Organizational leaders should therefore treat therapist well-being as a patient-safety issue. The objective is not to prevent clinicians from feeling emotion but to create conditions in which emotion can be processed without becoming impairment.

13. PREVENTION, EARLY IDENTIFICATION AND RESILIENCE

Prevention should begin before severe symptoms appear. Training programs can teach clinicians about countertransference, STS, vicarious traumatization, compassion fatigue, and burnout before they undertake intensive trauma work. Normalizing emotional responses can reduce shame, while education about warning signs can promote early action.

Assessment should be multidimensional. The STSS provides a useful symptom-based measure, but recent review evidence indicates that no single instrument captures the full STS domain (Paksina et al., 2026). Clinical assessment should therefore consider emotional, cognitive, physiological, interpersonal, and professional functioning.

Evidence for specific interventions remains less developed than the field would ideally require. Bercier and Maynard (2015) conducted a systematic review of interventions for STS among mental health workers and found no studies meeting their rigorous inclusion criteria. This does not mean that supervision, self-care, workload management, or peer support are useless. It means that the empirical basis for identifying the most effective specific intervention remains limited.

A reasonable preventive framework is therefore multimodal. It includes regular supervision, reflective practice, peer consultation, appropriate workload, recovery time, physical health and sleep, professional boundaries, personal therapy when indicated, and flexibility in clinical assignment when exposure becomes excessive. Resilience should not be understood as the obligation to tolerate unlimited trauma exposure; it should include the capacity to recognize limits and seek support.

14. AN INTEGRATED CLINICAL MODEL

The literature reviewed here supports a four-domain model of therapist vulnerability and resilience. The first domain is patient trauma exposure: the intensity, frequency, chronicity, and sensory vividness of the material. The second domain is therapist characteristics: personal trauma history, attachment patterns, coping style, professional experience, empathy, and unresolved emotional material. The third domain is the therapeutic relationship: countertransference, identification, dependency, rescue dynamics, alliance, boundaries, and interpersonal expectations. The fourth domain is the professional environment: supervision,

organizational support, workload, peer relationships, and opportunities for recovery.

These domains interact dynamically. A therapist with a personal history of trauma may be particularly reactive to a patient whose narrative resembles that history. Strong identification may increase countertransference. Repeated exposure without adequate supervision may increase STS symptoms. Organizational overload may then reduce recovery capacity and amplify both processes.

Conversely, protective factors can interrupt the pathway. Strong professional identity, reflective capacity, effective supervision, psychological flexibility, peer support, manageable workload, and clear boundaries may reduce the likelihood that emotional reactions become clinically impairing. Recent research on forensic psychology expert witnesses found associations among vicarious trauma, burnout, psychological flexibility, and self-care, further supporting attention to both individual and professional factors (Laster et al., 2026).

The model therefore rejects a simple exposure-to-symptom explanation. Trauma work is not inherently damaging, and therapists are not passive recipients of trauma. Outcomes depend on how exposure is processed within the therapist, the therapeutic relationship, and the professional environment.

15. CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

Several practical implications follow. First, therapists should expect emotional reactions and distinguish normal empathic responses from persistent or impairing symptoms. Second, countertransference should be discussed rather than hidden. Third, personal trauma history should be recognized as a potential vulnerability factor without stigmatizing therapists who have experienced trauma. Fourth, therapists should distinguish empathy from emotional fusion and compassion from responsibility. Fifth, supervisors should ask directly about therapist reactions to highly traumatic cases rather than waiting for the clinician to raise the subject. Sixth, organizations should monitor workload and provide structured opportunities for recovery. Seventh, clinicians should recognize that professional boundaries are protective rather than rejecting. Finally, early signs of STS or vicarious traumatization should be treated as reasons for assessment and support, not as evidence of personal weakness.

For trauma-focused clinicians, the central professional task is not emotional detachment. It is regulated presence. The therapist must be able to approach painful material closely enough to understand the patient while retaining sufficient psychological distance to think, formulate, and choose interventions. Countertransference management is one of the mechanisms that makes this regulated presence possible.

16. DISCUSSION

The literature supports a nuanced understanding of therapist distress. Trauma-focused work can produce clinically meaningful secondary trauma symptoms, but most clinicians do not necessarily develop significant impairment. The strongest evidence suggests that risk is influenced by personal trauma history, intensity of exposure, relational processes, and professional context. At the same time, the literature remains limited by heterogeneous definitions, cross-sectional designs, reliance on self-report measures, and insufficient longitudinal intervention research.

Countertransference is similarly complex. Emotional reactions may interfere with therapy when unmanaged, but the reactions themselves can contain information about the patient and the therapeutic relationship. The meta-analytic evidence is particularly important because it shifts attention from the impossible goal of eliminating countertransference to the achievable goal of managing it effectively.

An important implication is that therapist well-being should be conceptualized as part of treatment quality. A therapist who cannot recover from repeated exposure, who becomes emotionally numb, or who is persistently preoccupied with patients may have reduced clinical capacity. Conversely, a therapist who recognizes activation, seeks supervision, maintains boundaries, and restores personal resources is better positioned to remain empathically available.

Future research should move toward longitudinal designs that examine how countertransference, STS, vicarious trauma, burnout, compassion satisfaction, and personal trauma history change over time. Studies should also distinguish different forms of trauma exposure and examine mechanisms rather than treating all trauma survivors as a single group. Intervention research should evaluate supervision models, organizational interventions, workload strategies, and therapist-focused psychological interventions using rigorous designs.

17. CONCLUSION

Trauma-focused psychotherapy requires sustained contact with human suffering. This contact can be deeply meaningful, but it can also place therapists at risk of emotional and trauma-related consequences. Countertransference and secondary traumatic stress are distinct phenomena that may nevertheless interact within the therapeutic relationship.

Countertransference should not be understood simply as a weakness or error. It is an inevitable dimension of relational clinical work. Its clinical significance depends on the therapist's capacity to notice, understand, regulate, and manage it. Secondary traumatic stress,

in contrast, represents a potential occupational consequence of indirect trauma exposure and should be assessed when intrusive, avoidant, arousal-related, or functional symptoms emerge.

The evidence reviewed in this article supports a model in which therapist vulnerability reflects the interaction of patient trauma exposure, therapist characteristics, therapeutic relationship processes, and organizational conditions. Personal trauma history may increase vulnerability, but it does not determine outcome. Supervision, reflective practice, professional boundaries, psychological flexibility, supportive organizations, and appropriate workload can contribute to resilience.

Ultimately, protecting the therapist is not separate from protecting the patient. The therapist is part of the therapeutic system, and the quality of that system depends partly on the therapist's capacity to remain emotionally present without becoming overwhelmed, fused, avoidant, or impaired. A trauma-informed model of psychotherapy should therefore include not only the patient's safety and recovery but also the therapist's capacity for sustainable, ethical, and reflective practice.

REFERENCES

Abargil, M., & Tishby, O. Countertransference awareness and treatment outcome. – In: Journal of Counseling Psychology. 2022, 69(5), pp. 667 – 677. https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000620.

Bercier, M. L., & Maynard, B. R. Interventions for secondary traumatic stress with mental health workers: A systematic review. – In: Research on Social Work Practice. 2015, 25(1), pp. 81 – 89. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049731513517142.

Bride, B. E., Robinson, M. M., Yegidis, B., & Figley, C. R. Development and validation of the Secondary Traumatic Stress Scale. – In: Research on Social Work Practice. 2004, 14(1), pp. 27 – 35. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049731503254106.

Devilly, G. J., Wright, R., & Varker, T. Vicarious trauma, secondary traumatic stress or simply burnout? Effect of trauma therapy on mental health professionals. – In: Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. 2009, 43(4), pp. 373 – 385. https://doi.org/10.1080/00048670902721079.

Figley, C. R. Compassion fatigue: Psychotherapists' chronic lack of self-care. – In: Journal of Clinical Psychology. 2002, 58(11), pp. 1433 – 1441. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.10090.

Hayes, J. A., Gelso, C. J., & Hummel, A. M. Managing countertransference. – In: Psychotherapy. 2011, 48(1), pp. 88 – 97. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022182.

Hayes, J. A., Gelso, C. J., Goldberg, S., & Kivlighan, D. M. Countertransference management and effective psychotherapy: Meta-analytic findings. – In: Psychotherapy. 2018, 55(4), pp. 496 – 507. https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000189.

Henderson, A., Jewell, T., Huang, X., & Simpson, A. Personal trauma history and secondary traumatic stress in mental health professionals: A systematic review. – In: Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing. 2025, 32(1), pp. 13 – 30. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpm.13082.

Jenkins, S. R. Secondary traumatic stress and vicarious trauma: A validational study. – In: Journal of Traumatic Stress. 2002, 15(5), pp. 423 – 432. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020193526843.

Laster, M. L., Philip, R., Mitchell, A. T., & Brandwein, D. R. Vicarious trauma, burnout, psychological flexibility, and self-care among forensic psychology expert witnesses. – In: Journal of Forensic Sciences. 2026, 71(1), pp. 132 – 138. https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.70206.

Leung, T., Schmidt, F., & Mushquash, C. A personal history of trauma and experience of secondary traumatic stress, vicarious trauma, and burnout in mental health workers: A systematic literature review. – In: Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy. 2023, 15(Suppl. 2), pp. S213 – S221. https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0001277.

McCann, I. L., & Pearlman, L. A. Vicarious traumatization: A framework for understanding the psychological effects of working with victims. – In: Journal of Traumatic Stress. 1990, 3(1), pp. 131 – 149. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00975140.

Paksina, A., Jenner, S., & McKinnon, A. Measuring secondary traumatic stress in therapists: A systematic review. – In: Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy. 2026. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0002080.

Velasco, J., Sanmartín, F. J., Gálvez-Lara, M., Cuadrado, F., & Moriana, J. A. Psychological effects of professional exposure to trauma and human suffering: Systematic review and meta-analysis. – In: Trauma, Violence, & Abuse. 2023, 24(3), pp. 1656 – 1676. https://doi.org/10.1177/15248380221074314.

Whittenbury, K., Clark, S. L., Brooks, M., Murphy, T., Turner, M. J., & Fawcett, H. Strengths for helping professionals exposed to secondary trauma: A scoping review. – In: Trauma, Violence, & Abuse. 2025, 26(2), pp. 251 – 26