CHALLENGES IN DEALING WITH THIRD AGE LONELINESS

Ofer Schneid
PhD Student
Department of Psychology
Varna Free University "Chernorizets Hrabar"

Abstract: *The phenomenon of loneliness among the elderly, or third age loneliness, has emerged as a significant public health concern. Despite numerous interventions aimed at alleviating loneliness in older adults, many faces substantial challenges and barriers that limit their effectiveness. This article explores the various challenges and the barriers to dealing with third age loneliness emphasizing the existential and psychological aspects that complicate these interventions, drawing on current literature to elucidate the complex nature of third age loneliness and the multifaceted efforts required to address it.*

Keywords: *Loneliness, Third Age, Interventions, Loneliness Alleviation Ageism, Social Stigma, Digital Divide*

Introduction

Loneliness among adults is a broad and complex issue that receives increasing attention in recent years. As societies mature and demographic changes occur, the prevalence of loneliness among the elderly has become a significant public health concern. Despite its prevalence and impact on general well-being, treating loneliness among adults poses many challenges to caregivers, health professionals, and policy makers alike. Interventions range from social engagement programs to technological solutions designed to connect older adults. However, these interventions often encounter significant challenges and barriers, both existential and psychological in nature.

I. Identifying and Assessing Third Age Loneliness

Identifying and assessing loneliness among individuals in the third age, or the later stages of life, poses significant challenges due to a multitude of factors ranging from societal perceptions to individual experiences. Pinpointing and evaluating this phenomenon require a nuanced approach that acknowledges the complexities of aging and social interaction.

1. Loneliness Subjective Nature

One primary challenge in identifying third age loneliness lies in the subjective nature of loneliness itself. While loneliness is often described as a subjective experience of lacking desired social connections (Perlman & Peplau 1981), its manifestations can vary widely among individuals. Factors such as cultural background, personality traits, and life circumstances can influence how loneliness is perceived and expressed (Victor & Yang 2012). Furthermore, loneliness is also an existential phenomenon (Lazarov, 2010). Consequently, relying solely on standardized measures may overlook the unique nuances of loneliness in the third age.

2. Ageism

Societal attitudes toward aging can further complicate the identification of third age loneliness. Ageism, defined as stereotypes and discrimination against individuals based on their age (Nelson 2005), can lead to the marginalization of older adults and inhibit their willingness to disclose feelings of loneliness (Ayalon 2019). Fear of stigmatization or being perceived as a burden may prevent older adults from seeking support or acknowledging their own feelings of loneliness (de Jong & Tesch-Römer 2012).

3. Overlapping Other Issues

Another challenge is the overlap between loneliness and other mental health issues prevalent in the elderly population, such as depression and anxiety. Studies have shown a bidirectional relationship between loneliness and depression among older adults (Cacioppo & Hawkley 2009), with loneliness serving as both a precursor to and a consequence of depressive symptoms. Distinguishing between these interconnected phenomena requires careful assessment and consideration of contextual factors.

4. Changes in Social Roles

The dynamics of social relationships in the third age pose unique challenges to assessment. As individuals age, their social networks may naturally shrink due to factors such as retirement, relocation, or the loss of friends and family members (Cornwell & Waite 2009). Consequently, what constitutes adequate social connectedness in later life may differ from younger age groups. Assessments of third age loneliness must therefore account for changes in social roles, support networks, and coping mechanisms that evolve with age (Cornwell & Laumann 2015).

5. Quantitative Measures and Qualitative insights

Addressing these challenges in identifying and assessing third age loneliness requires a multifaceted approach that integrates quantitative measures with qualitative insights. Combining standardized

loneliness scales with in-depth interviews or qualitative assessments can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the subjective experiences and contextual factors influencing loneliness in later life (Fakoya, McCorry & Donnelly, M. (2020).

6. Age-inclusive Environments

Fostering age-inclusive environments and combating ageism can create safer spaces for older adults to openly discuss their feelings of loneliness and seek support without fear of stigma (Ayalon & Tesch-Römer 2018).

In conclusion, identifying and assessing third age loneliness is a complex endeavor that demands sensitivity to individual experiences, societal attitudes, and the evolving dynamics of social relationships in later life. By adopting a multidimensional approach that acknowledges the nuances of aging and loneliness, researchers and practitioners can better understand and address the challenges faced by older adults in maintaining meaningful social connections.

II. Barriers to Intervention and Support

While intervention and support are essential in addressing this issue, several barriers hinder effective assistance for those experiencing third age loneliness.

II.1. Psychological Barriers

1. Emotional Regulation and Mental Health

One of the primary psychological barriers to effective interventions is the difficulty older adults may have in regulating emotions and managing mental health issues. Depression and anxiety, common among older adults, can exacerbate feelings of loneliness and make it harder for individuals to engage with intervention programs (Victor et al., 2005).

2. Cognitive Decline

Cognitive decline, including mild cognitive impairment and dementia, poses another significant barrier. Cognitive impairments can reduce the ability to form and maintain social connections, making it more difficult for individuals to benefit from social interventions (Goll et al., 2015).

3. Past Experiences and Psychological Resilience

Past experiences, including trauma and loss, influence how older adults perceive and respond to loneliness. Psychological resilience, or the lack thereof, can significantly impact the effectiveness of interventions. Individuals with lower resilience may struggle more with loneliness and be less responsive to interventions (Windle et al., 2011).

II.2. Existential Barriers

1. Search for Meaning and Purpose

In the third age, the search for meaning and purpose becomes particularly salient. Retirement often leads to a loss of roles and identities that previously provided structure and significance. Interventions that fail to address this existential void may not fully alleviate feelings of loneliness (Wong, 2012).

2. Fear of Mortality

Humans are mortal beings. Fear of mortality and contemplation of the finitude of life are existential issues that can increase loneliness (Lazarov, 2016). Older adults who struggle with these issues may find it difficult to engage with interventions that do not acknowledge or address their existential anxieties. (Pinquart & Sörensen, 2001).

3. Social Isolation and Existential Loneliness

Existential loneliness, a profound sense of isolation that goes beyond physical solitude, is a critical factor. It encompasses a feeling of disconnection from others and a sense that one's life lacks meaning (Yalom, 1980). Addressing existential loneliness requires more than fostering social connections; it necessitates interventions that help individuals find personal significance and a sense of belonging.

Multi-dimensional Approach

Effective interventions need to adopt a multi-dimensional approach that addresses both psychological and existential needs. This includes integrating mental health support, cognitive stimulation, and opportunities for meaningful social engagement (Perlman & Peplau, 1981).

II.3. Other Barriers to Intervention and Support

1. Social Stigma and Perception

One significant barrier to intervening in third age loneliness is the social stigma surrounding aging and mental health. Society often holds misconceptions about aging, viewing it as a period of decline and dependency rather than a stage of continued growth and development. This stigma can prevent individuals from seeking help or disclosing their feelings of loneliness, fearing judgment or further marginalization (Victor & Bowling 2012). Moreover, the perception of loneliness as a personal weakness or failure may deter older adults from acknowledging their feelings or reaching out for support (Hawkley & Cacioppo 2010). This reluctance to discuss loneliness can exacerbate the issue, leading to prolonged isolation and decreased well-being.

2. Apathy and Lack of Initiative

Significant hurdles in addressing third age loneliness are the manifestations of apathy and lack of initiative among the elderly. Apathy, characterized by a lack of interest, enthusiasm, or concern, can be particularly debilitating for older adults (van Reekum, Stuss & Ostrander 2005). It often leads to disengagement from social interactions and a reluctance to seek out new relationships or activities. When coupled with a diminished sense of initiative, which manifests as a reluctance or inability to take action independently, the result is a potent barrier to combating loneliness in the third age. One of the primary challenges posed by apathy and lack of initiative is the perpetuation of social isolation. Elderly individuals experiencing apathy may withdraw from social engagements, even when opportunities for interaction are available (Donini, Marsili, Graziani, Imbriale, Cannella, Barbagallo & Cataldi 2018). This withdrawal can further diminish their social networks, exacerbating feelings of loneliness and isolation. Moreover, the reluctance to take initiative means that opportunities for social connection may go unrealized, further deepening the sense of loneliness.

3. Lack of Awareness and Recognition

Another barrier lies in the lack of awareness and recognition of third age loneliness as a significant public health concern. Despite its prevalence and detrimental effects on health and well-being, third age loneliness is often overlooked or trivialized, both by policymakers and the general public (Dahlberg & McKee 2014). This oversight results in limited resources allocated to address the issue and a dearth of accessible support services for affected individuals.

4. Limited Access to Resources

Even when individuals recognize the need for intervention, they may encounter barriers in accessing appropriate resources. Older adults living in rural or remote areas, for instance, may face challenges in accessing transportation to attend support groups or community events, exacerbating their feelings of isolation (Cornwell & Waite 2009). Additionally, financial constraints can limit access to mental health services or social activities that promote social connection and well-being (Courtin & Knapp 2017).

5. Digital Divide

In an increasingly digitized world, the digital divide poses a significant barrier to intervention and support for third age loneliness. While technology offers avenues for social connection and access to information, many older adults lack the digital literacy skills or access to digital devices necessary to benefit from these resources (Charness & Boot 2009). Consequently, they may miss out on

opportunities for virtual social interaction and online support networks, further exacerbating their feelings of isolation.

6. Cultural and Linguistic Barriers

Cultural and linguistic diversity present additional challenges in addressing third age loneliness. Older adults from minority or immigrant communities may encounter barriers in accessing culturally competent support services that understand and respect their unique backgrounds and experiences (Shankar, McMunn, Banks & Steptoe 2011). Language barriers can further hinder effective communication and engagement with healthcare providers or support networks, limiting access to appropriate interventions.

7. Privacy & Vulnerability

In this digital age, where privacy protection and vulnerability intersect in complex ways. Understanding and addressing these challenges is crucial for providing effective support to elderly individuals combating loneliness.

A. Digital Invasion of Privacy

The rapid digitization of services and communication platforms has introduced new avenues for privacy intrusion (Smith 2019). Elderly individuals, who may be less tech-savvy, are particularly vulnerable to privacy breaches through social media, online scams, and data harvesting (Anderson & Perrin 2017).

B. Healthcare Data Privacy

With the integration of technology in healthcare services, sensitive medical data is increasingly stored and transmitted digitally. Ensuring the privacy and security of this information is essential to maintaining trust between elderly patients and healthcare providers (Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology**)**.

C. Cognitive weakness in detecting threats

Age-related cognitive decline can impair an individual's ability to recognize and respond to privacy threats effectively. Elderly individuals may be more susceptible to scams and manipulation, further exacerbating their vulnerability (Marioni 2019).

III. Personally Adapted versus Comprehensive Interventions

Interventions to alleviate loneliness can be broadly categorized into personally adapted and comprehensive approaches. Personally adapted interventions, which are tailored to individual needs, and comprehensive interventions, which target the broader social environment.

III.1. Personally Adapted Interventions

III.1.1. Pros

1. Customization

Personally adapted interventions are designed to meet the specific needs of individuals, making them more relevant and potentially more effective. Studies have shown that interventions tailored to individual preferences and circumstances tend to have higher engagement and satisfaction rates (Cohen-Mansfield & Perach, 2015).

2. Flexibility

These interventions can be adjusted over time as the needs of the individual change, allowing for continuous and dynamic support (Masi et al., 2011).

3. Enhanced Relationship Building

Personalized interventions often involve one-on-one interactions, which can help build deeper relationships and trust between the service provider and the recipient (Gardiner et al., 2018).

III.1.2. Cons

1. Resource Intensive

Developing and maintaining personalized interventions require significant time, effort, and financial resources (Cattan et al., 2005).

2. Limited Reach

Due to their tailored nature, personally adapted interventions may not reach as many individuals as comprehensive programs (Victor et al., 2000).

3. Dependence on Skilled Personnel

Effective delivery of personalized interventions often requires trained professionals, which can be a limiting factor in their implementation (Perissinotto et al., 2019).

III.2. Comprehensive Interventions

III.2.1. Pros

1. Broad Reach

Comprehensive interventions can address loneliness at a community or societal level, impacting a larger number of individuals (Dickens et al., 2011).

2. Multi-faceted Approach

These interventions often include various components such as social activities, community engagement, and policy changes, providing a holistic approach to alleviating loneliness (Victor et al., 2018).

3. Sustainability

Comprehensive programs, particularly those supported by policy and community infrastructure, can be more sustainable in the long term (Windle et al., 2011).

III.2.2. Cons

1. One-Size-Fits-All

Comprehensive interventions may not adequately address the specific needs of individuals, potentially reducing their effectiveness for certain segments of the population (Fakoya et al., 2020).

2. Implementation Complexity

Designing and implementing broad programs requires coordination across multiple sectors and stakeholders, which can be complex and challenging (Holt-Lunstad et al., 2015).

3. Variable Impact

The impact of comprehensive interventions can vary widely depending on local contexts and the specific design of the program (Gardiner et al., 2018).

A hybrid approach

Personally adapted interventions and comprehensive programs each offer distinct advantages and face unique challenges. A hybrid approach that leverages the strengths of both could be the most effective way to alleviate loneliness in the third age.

Conclusion

Loneliness in the third age is a complex and multifaceted issue that requires a wide comprehensive effort to treat it effectively. Dealing with the phenomenon requires an assessment of its magnitude and characteristics, which vary from person to person. It requires a nuanced understanding of the psychological and existential barriers that older adults face. On one side interventions must be personalized, sustainable, and multi-dimensional to be truly effective.

However, a hybrid approach that offers also comprehensive programs could be the most effective way to alleviate loneliness in the third age.

Adaptation of alleviation strategies and procedures is required not only for the community but also personally for the elderly individual. Breaking down barriers to intervention and support is required. This is by challenging the social stigma, increasing awareness, improving access to resources, bridging the digital divide while protecting individual privacy and its vulnerability. All this while referring to cultural and linguistic barriers. Applying these challenging topics can definitely improve and dramatically alleviate the loneliness experienced in the third age.

References

Anderson, M., & Perrin, A. (2017). Tech Adoption Climbs Among Older Adults. Pew Research Center (https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2017/05/17/tech-adoption-climbs-among-older-adults/)

Ayalon, L., & Tesch-Römer, C. (2018). Taking a closer look at ageism: Self- and other-directed ageist attitudes and discrimination. European Journal of Ageing, 15(3), 243–252.

Ayalon, L. (2019). Loneliness and social isolation among older adults: A critical analysis. International Psychogeriatrics, 31(8), 1097–1109.

Cacioppo, J. T., & Hawkley, L. C. (2009). Perceived social isolation and cognition. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 13(10), 447–454.

Cacioppo, J. T., & Cacioppo, S. (2018). Loneliness in the modern age: An evolutionary theory of loneliness (ETL). Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 58, 127-197.

Cataldi, S. (2018). Effectiveness of a home care service on the nourishment status and anthropometric and functional parameters of older adults: a quasi-experimental study. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 19(12), 1103-1108.

Cattan, M., White, M., Bond, J., & Learmouth, A. (2005). Preventing social isolation and loneliness among older people: A systematic review of health promotion interventions. Ageing & Society, 25(1), 41-67.

Charness, N., & Boot, W. R. (2009). Aging and information technology use: Potential and barriers. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 18(5), 253-258.

Cohen-Mansfield, J., & Perach, R. (2015). Interventions for alleviating loneliness among older persons: A critical review. American Journal of Health Promotion, 29(3), e109-e125.

Cornwell, E. Y., & Waite, L. J. (2009). Social disconnectedness, perceived isolation, and health among older adults. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 50(1), 31–48.

Cornwell, B., & Laumann, E. O. (2015). The health benefits of network growth: New evidence from a national survey of older adults. Social Science & Medicine, 125, 94–106.

Courtin, E., & Knapp, M. (2017). Social isolation, loneliness and health in old age: A scoping review. Health & Social Care in the Community, 25(3), 799-812.

Dahlberg, L., & McKee, K. J. (2014). Correlates of social and emotional loneliness in older people: Evidence from an English community study. Aging & Mental Health, 18(4), 504-514.

Dickens, A. P., Richards, S. H., Greaves, C. J., & Campbell, J. L. (2011). Interventions targeting social isolation in older people: A systematic review.

Donini, L. M., Marsili, D., Graziani, M. P., Imbriale, M., Cannella, C., Barbagallo, M., ... &

Fakoya, O. A., McCorry, N. K., & Donnelly, M. (2020). Loneliness and social isolation interventions for older adults: A scoping review of reviews. BMC Public Health, 20(1), 129.

Gardiner, C., Geldenhuys, G., & Gott, M. (2018). Interventions to reduce social isolation and loneliness among older people: an integrative review. Health & Social Care in the Community, 26(2), 147-157.

Goll, J. C., Charlesworth, G., Scior, K., & Stott, J. (2015). Barriers to social participation among lonely older adults: The influence of social fears and identity. PLoS ONE, 10(2), e0116664.

Hawkley, L. C., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2010). Loneliness matters: A theoretical and empirical review of consequences and mechanisms. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 40(2), 218-227.

Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., Baker, M., Harris, T., & Stephenson, D. (2015). Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for mortality: A meta-analytic review. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 10(2), 227-237.

de Jong Gierveld, J., & Tesch-Römer, C. (2012). Loneliness in old age in Eastern and Western European societies: Theoretical perspectives. European Journal of Ageing, 9(4), 285–295.

Lazarov, I. (2010) The paradoxical phenomenology of otherness (The Other: social image, existential

e

Lazarov, I. (2016) Systematic and Social Philosophy. A Compact Textbook in English for the Students of VFU. Part I: Systematic Philosophy, I.6. Death. Thanatology, 38-44

e

r

i

e

n

Marioni, R. E., et al. (2019). The Epigenetic Clock and Telomere Length Are Independently Associated with Chronological Age and Mortality. International Journal of Epidemiology, 48(1), 201–212. (https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyy240)

Masi, C. M., Chen, H. Y., Hawkley, L. C., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2011). A meta-analysis of interventions to reduce loneliness. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 15(3), 219-266.

Nelson, T. D. (2005). Ageism: Prejudice against our feared future self. Journal of Social Issues, 61(2), 207–221.

Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology. (n.d.). Privacy and Security of Electronic Health Information. HealthIT.gov. (https://www.healthit.gov/topic/privacy-security-and-hipaa/privacy-and-security-electronic-health-information)

Perissinotto, C. M., Holt-Lunstad, J., Periyakoil, V. S., & Covinsky, K. (2019). A practical approach to assessing and mitigating loneliness and isolation in older adults. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 67(4), 657-662.

Perlman, D., & Peplau, L. A. (1981). Toward a social psychology of loneliness. In S. Duck & R. Gilmour (Eds.), Personal relationships in disorder (pp. 31–56). Academic Press.

Pinquart, M., & Sörensen, S. (2001). Influences of socioeconomic status, social network, and competence on subjective well-being in later life: A meta-analysis. Psychology and Aging, 16(2), 187.

van Reekum, R., Stuss, D. T., & Ostrander, L. (2005). Apathy: why care? Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, 17(1), 7-19.

Shankar, A., McMunn, A., Banks, J., & Steptoe, A. (2011). Loneliness, social isolation, and behavioral and biological health indicators in older adults. Health Psychology, 30(4), 377-385.

Smith, A. (2019). Privacy and Information Sharing. Pew Research Center. (https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2019/11/15/privacy-and-information-sharing/)

Victor, C. R., Scambler, S. J., Bowling, A., & Bond, J. (2000). Loneliness in later life: Preliminary findings from the Growing Older project. Ageing & Society, 20(3), 327-348.

Victor, C. R., Scambler, S. J., Bowling, A., & Bond, J. (2005). The prevalence of, and risk factors for, loneliness in later life: a survey of older people in Great Britain. Ageing & Society, 25(3), 357-375.

Victor, C. R., & Bowling, A. (2012). A longitudinal analysis of loneliness among older people in Great Britain. The Journal of Psychology, 146(3), 313-331.

Victor, C. R., & Yang, K. (2012). The prevalence of loneliness among adults: A case study of the United Kingdom. The Journal of Psychology, 146(1-2), 85–104.

Windle, G., Woods, R. T., & Markland, D. A. (2011). Living with ill-health in older age: The role of a resilient personality. Journal of Happiness Studies, 12, 763-777.

Windle, K., Francis, J., & Coomber, C. (2011). Preventing loneliness and social isolation: Interventions and outcomes. Social Care Institute for Excellence, 39(1), 1-24.

Wong, P. T. P. (2012). Toward a dual-systems model of what makes life worth living. In The human quest for meaning (pp. 3-22). Routledge.

Yalom, I. D. (1980). Existential psychotherapy. Basic Books.